



Terrington Parish Council
Neighbourhood Plan: Residents' Survey
January 2024

Report prepared by:

Pickersgill Consultancy and Planning Ltd (PCP)
Woodlands
Church Lane
Welburn
York
YO60 7EG

Table of Contents	Page
Number	
1. <i>Introduction</i>	4
2. <i>Methodology</i>	4
3. <i>Executive Summary</i>	5
4. <i>Detailed Findings</i>	8
4.1 <i>Response Rate</i>	8
4.1.1 <i>Overall Response Rate</i>	8
4.2.1 <i>Response Rate by Area of Parish</i>	8
4.2. <i>Profile of Respondents</i>	10
4.2.1 <i>Age</i>	10
4.2.2 <i>Gender</i>	10
4.2.3 <i>Number of Adults in Household</i>	11
4.2.4 <i>Presence of Children under Age of 18 in Household</i>	12
4.2.5 <i>Time in Parish</i>	12
4.2.6 <i>Housing Tenure</i>	13
4.2.7 <i>Employment Status</i>	14
4.2.8 <i>Unpaid or Voluntary Work</i>	14
4.3 <i>Attitudes to Living in Terrington Parish</i>	15
4.3.1 <i>Rating of Aspects</i>	15
4.3.2 <i>Learning, Leisure, and Community Facilities</i>	17
4.3.3 <i>Recreational Activities</i>	19
4.3.4 <i>Crime and Safety</i>	21
4.3.5 <i>Transport</i>	22
4.3.6 <i>Road Traffic and Parking</i>	24
4.4 <i>Attitudes to Residential Development in the Parish</i>	26
4.4.1 <i>Size of Potential Development</i>	26
4.4.2 <i>Type of Home Appropriate for any Development in the Parish</i>	27

4.4.3	<i>Type of Ownership Appropriate for any Development in the Parish.....</i>	28
4.4.4	<i>Demolition or conversion of an existing single building to deliver two or more dwellings.....</i>	29
4.4.5	<i>Importance of ways of preserving Terrington Parish’s rural and historic character.....</i>	30
4.4.6	<i>Other ways of preserving Terrington Parish’s rural and historic character.....</i>	30
4.4.7	<i>Importance of Features for new Housing Developments in the Parish.....</i>	31
4.4.8	<i>Protection of Green Spaces, Views and Vistas in the Parish.....</i>	33
4.5	<i>Current Infrastructure.....</i>	34
4.6	<i>Other Points to Include in Neighbourhood Plan.....</i>	36
4.7	<i>Employment and Business.....</i>	38
4.7.1	<i>Need for Small Scale Business Development.....</i>	38
4.7.2	<i>Business Ownership and Self Employment in Parish.....</i>	38
4.8	<i>Communication.....</i>	41
4.9	<i>Final Comments.....</i>	41
Appendix One – <i>Respondent Questionnaire.....</i>		43
Appendix Two – <i>Invitation Letter.....</i>		56
Appendix Three – <i>Raw Data for Q26a.....</i>		57

1. Introduction

Terrington Parish Council commissioned Pickersgill Consultancy & Planning Ltd (PCP) to conduct a survey of residents of the Parish to aid the development of a Neighbourhood Plan.

This report summarises the results from the research and the main conclusions drawn.

2. Methodology

A questionnaire was drawn up by Terrington Neighbourhood Plan Group (TNPG) on behalf of members of the Parish Council and in consultation with PCP. The final version agreed is shown as Appendix One.

A letter from the TNPG was distributed to all households in the Parish over the weekend of 11-13 November. The letter, shown as Appendix Two, explained the purpose of the research and the appointment of PCP as an independent research agency to ensure that all responses to the survey were treated in strict confidence. The letter included a link to the survey and residents over the age of 16 were invited to visit this link and to complete the questionnaire online before the deadline of Friday 8th December. All adult residents within a household were encouraged to take part, to allow for the possibility that different members of a household may have different views on some of the topics raised. Unless otherwise stated, all percentages in the report are based on the 109 individuals completing and submitting a questionnaire.

A reminder letter was delivered to all households over the weekend of 25-26 November.

It was important to ensure that all households in the Parish received a copy of the letter from TNPG so that no-one could say that they had not been given an opportunity to contribute their views. The list of addresses of all households in the village was drawn up by the TNPG.

A PCP FREEPHONE number was also provided in the letter:

- To help residents with any queries;
- To provide an option for them to complete the survey over the phone with a PCP interviewer;
- To allow them to request a hard copy of the questionnaire to be mailed to them for self- completion and return by pre-paid post.

Each address was assigned a random 3-digit number between 100 and 999. This number was included in the invitation letter and was required to start the survey. This ensured both anonymity of responses and also that only residents of the Parish could participate. It also allowed the number of responses per household to be tracked and so ensure that an excessive number of responses were not submitted from the same household. The numbers

were allocated randomly so that a resident would not know which had been allocated to another household and so would not have been able to submit a response on their behalf.

3. Executive Summary

3.1 37% of households in the Parish completed at least one questionnaire.

3.2 The majority of households completed only one questionnaire. It is likely that those household members not completing a questionnaire believed that their views had been captured by the member who did, but this cannot of course be assumed. At an individual level, it can be estimated that the response rate to the survey was 21%.

3.3 Two thirds of the respondents were aged 55 or over. Only 14% were aged under 45. Over four fifths of respondents had no children under 16 living with them on a permanent basis.

3.4 16% of respondents lived alone and most of the remainder lived with one other adult. The average size of household was 2.1 adults and 0.3 children.

3.5 Over four fifths of respondents own their home; only 7% occupy as tenants.

3.6 Three fifths (59%) of respondents have lived in the Parish for more than 10 years, so could be considered long-term residents. Only 17% have lived in the Parish for five years or less.

3.7 Reflecting the relatively high age of the majority of residents of the Parish, almost half the respondents described themselves as retired. Just over a quarter were in full time employment.

3.8 Almost three quarters of respondents undertook some form of unpaid or voluntary work. Almost one quarter undertook more than 10 hours of such work per month.

3.9 Terrington's environment, wildlife / natural features / landscape, its peace and quiet, its facilities and its sense of community were all seen as 'very important' by at least two thirds of respondents and as 'quite important' by almost all the remainder.

3.10 There was very little dissatisfaction expressed in respect of the facilities in and around Terrington. Satisfaction was particularly high with the GP services and the village hall. On other aspects such as access to retail, cafe and Post Office facilities, indoor and outdoor facilities, however, the majority expressed themselves as 'satisfied' rather than 'very satisfied'

3.11 Walking is much the most popular recreational activity amongst residents of the parish and almost all respondents claimed to be active in this respect. Other recreational activities that around one third or more of respondents took part in were gardening / allotments, community involvement, music / performing arts and cycling.

3.12 Three quarters of respondents felt there were no crime or community safety problems in the Parish. Most of the remainder had no opinion one way or the other. Only 9% felt there were problems.

3.13 A private vehicle was much the most likely form of transport to be used for all respondent activities except taking children to and from school (for which almost as many

walked as used a private vehicle). Walking was also the method used by many respondents when taking part in leisure activities and making health visits, though even for these activities a private vehicle was more likely to be used.

Other methods of transport such as train or taxi were relatively little used for any of the activities.

3.14 Approximately half the respondents expressed some level of concern in respect of cars blocking the road, damage from passing vehicles and school parking. Opinion was quite evenly divided about the need for traffic calming within the Parish, with a small majority feeling there was no such need.

3.15 Church Lane / the area around Terrington School, North Back Lane and Main Street were most likely to be mentioned as roads or junctions in the Parish that are danger spots and in need of traffic calming or better traffic management,

3.16 There was almost universal rejection of one large development of more than 20 houses in the Parish and less strong, but still substantial, rejection of a medium sized development of 10-20 houses. By contrast, a strong majority felt a small development of fewer than 10 houses or, in particular, individual plots would be 'very' or 'quite' suitable for the Parish.

3.17 The majority of respondents felt that different types of housing such as 1–2-bedroom homes, 3 or more-bedroom homes, bungalows / single storey homes or affordable housing would all be at least 'quite important' if any development were to take place.

3.18 'Privately owned' was the favoured option when respondents were asked to consider different types of ownership / tenure for any new development. However, small majorities also felt that it would be at least 'quite important' that any new development should include privately rented or shared ownership homes. Opinion was evenly divided on the importance of public sector rented housing.

3.19 When respondents were asked about the importance to them of preserving the Parish's rural and historic character in any new development, very strong feelings emerged. More than four fifths of respondents saw it as 'very important' to consider the location of the housing, its height in relation to neighbouring properties and to ensure that the materials were in keeping with the Parish.

3.20 Particular importance was attached to ensuring that any development respected the rural character of the Parish and the scale of the existing village. Adequate parking on the premises and energy efficiency were also seen as 'very important' by three quarters or more of all respondents.

3.21 The village playing fields and The Plump were most likely to be mentioned as green spaces needing protection in the event of any development.

3.22 A majority of respondents rated the current infrastructure where they live as at least 'acceptable'. However, no individual aspect was rated as 'good' by more than 58%. In particular, only a small minority rated the pavements and roads as 'good' (10% and 5% respectively).

3.23 There was very strong support that protection of the landscape, of local wildlife / habitats and of historic / natural features and the maintenance and improvement of the present green space and recreational areas should be included in the Parish's Neighbourhood Plan. Slightly less strong majorities also favoured the maintenance and improvement of public rights of way and the inclusion of pedestrian and cycle access. Opinion was more evenly divided on whether tourism should be promoted.

3.24 When presented with a list of four types of small-scale business development for the Parish, just over half the respondents felt none of them were needed. The development attracting most support was for creative workshop spaces.

3.25 Just under one fifth of respondents (19%) owned or managed a business located in the Parish or were self-employed and based in the Parish. Most of these respondents worked from home and most were either sole traders or employed just one person. The business was most likely to be farming or horticulture. Most felt their current business premises were 'very suitable.'

3.26 Email was the preferred method of communication for the receipt of updates and information about the Neighbourhood Plan and its development. Almost all respondents wanted some form of update and information and, in addition to email, The Howardian, the Parish Council website, noticeboards, flyers or posters and the village Facebook site were all favoured by many respondents.

4. Detailed Findings

4.1 Response Rate

4.1.1 Overall Response Rate

A total of 109 individual responses were received from 90 different households. The database of local addresses provided by the Parish Council listed 239 different addresses in the Parish, but four of these were found to be unoccupied when the letters were delivered. Feedback from the team who delivered the letters suggests the number unoccupied was greater than this, but could not be quantified.

However, letters were delivered to 11 addresses not listed on the database (some of which were occupied by landowners), bringing the total number of households potentially contributing to the survey as 246. The household response rate to the survey can therefore be calculated to be 37%.

Based on the answers given to a question in the survey, the 90 households completing a questionnaire contained 189 adult members. This implies that only 58% (109 out of 189) adults in these households completed a questionnaire. It is likely that many of the households submitting only one response did so on the basis that their fellow resident(s) had similar views to their own, but this cannot of course be assumed.


Assuming the same number of adults per household in households not completing the survey, it can be estimated that there are 516 adults living in the Parish. In that case, the overall response rate for individuals can be estimated at 21%.

89 households and 107 individuals completed the survey online. 1 household and 1 individual completed it on paper and 1 household, 1 individual completed it over the telephone.

4.1.2 Response Rate by Area of Parish


When asked which area of the Parish they lived in, 12% of respondents (9% of households) stated that they preferred not to say.

The chart below shows the breakdown of household responses received from different areas of the Parish. It can be seen that most of the responses (64%) came from residents of Main Street / North Carr / The Square, South Back Lane or North Back Lane / Church Lane / New Road.



Base: All households (90)

When the number of properties in each area of the Parish is taken into account, response rates by area can be estimated as shown below. However, it should be noted that at least some of these figures will be under-estimates depending on which area the households responding 'prefer not to say' to this question were from. Nevertheless, this uncertainty is unlikely to affect the main conclusions, which are that the highest response rate was seen from households in the South Back Lane and Mowthorpe / Mowthorpe Lane areas and that the lowest response rate was seen from households in two of the outlying areas - Terrington Bank and Ganthorpe. Indeed, only one response was received from a Ganthorpe resident.




Base: All households (90)

4.2 Profile of Respondents

4.2.1 Age

The chart below shows the age distribution of respondents. Only 3% chose to answer 'prefer not to say' so a clear picture of the age profile can be seen. Almost half of the respondents were aged 65 or over, and two thirds were aged 55 or over. Only 14% were aged under 45.




Q28 And which of these age bands do you fit into?

Base: All respondents (109)


4.2.2 Gender

Slightly more responses were received from males than from females. 69% of households contained two adults and it was a male who completed the questionnaire in the majority (59%) of these households.



4.2.3 Number of Adults in Household


Two thirds of respondents lived with one other adult aged 16 or over; 1 in 7 lived on their own. The average number of adults per household was 2.1.



4.2.4 Presence of Children under Age of 16 in Household


Over four fifths of respondents had no children aged under 16 living at the address on a permanent basis. Only 2% had more than two children under the age of 16 living with them.

The average number of children per household was 0.3 and the average number of people (adults and children) was 2.4.




4.2.5 Time in Parish

Three fifths (59%) of respondents have lived in the Parish for more than 10 years. 17% have lived in the Parish for five years or less.




4.2.6 Housing Tenure

Over four fifths of respondents own their home whilst 7% occupy as tenants.



4.2.7 Employment Status

Almost half the respondents described themselves as retired. Just over a quarter were in full time employment.




Q33 What is your employment status? Are you...?

Base: All respondents (109)

4.2.8 Unpaid or Voluntary Work

The majority of respondents (72%) undertook some form of unpaid or voluntary work. Almost a quarter carried out more than 10 hours per month.



Q34 On average, how many hours per month do you do unpaid or voluntary work?

Base: All respondents (109)


Residents of the Plump / Cliffe Lane / Cliffe Mews / Holebeck Close and the South Back Lane areas were most likely to undertake some form of unpaid or voluntary work (86% and 83% respectively of these respondents did so).

4.3 Attitudes to Living in Terrington Parish

4.3.1 Rating of Aspects


Respondents were asked to rate the importance of various aspects of living in Terrington Parish. The environment, wildlife / natural features / landscape, its peace and quiet, its facilities and its sense of community were all seen as 'very important' by at least two thirds of respondents and as 'quite important' by almost all the remainder.

The only two aspects seen as 'not very' or 'not at all' important by a significant minority of respondents were schools and public transport connections (seen as 'not very' or 'not at all' important by 40% and 37% of respondents respectively). However, amongst the minority of 17 respondents with children under 16 in the household, 53% regarded schools as 'very important' and a further 41% as 'quite important'. The lack of importance attached to public transport connections may reflect the paucity of provision.



Q1 How do you rate these aspects of living in Terrington Parish?

Base: All respondents (109)



Q1 How do you rate these aspects of living in Terrington Parish?

Base: All respondents (109)

After being shown the above prompted list of aspects of living in the Parish, respondents were asked if any other aspects of living in the Parish were important to them. 43% did mention something else that was important to them, with dark skies / no street lighting, the

feeling of safety / low crime rate, the village hall, and the community facilities such as tennis courts all being mentioned by over 10% of these respondents (5% of the total sample).

Some examples of verbatim comments expressing these views follow:

“Lighting - it is vital that we preserve and enhance the view of the night sky.”

“The dark sky policy, there is public street lighting in the village and I would like that to continue. I don't want lots of lights to destroy astronomical observations.”

“The absence of street lights contributing to Terrington being a Dark Skies friendly community.”

“The safety here - everything from road safety, to house safety, personal safety and that of my children.”

“There is no graffiti here, and basically no crime.”

“The Church Village Hall and the variety of activities available - for example the choir.”

“We have great facilities - sports, social and entertainment facilities, e.g. All weather sports area for bowls, tennis etc to allow for all year-round activities.”


“The private school as it brings a sense of community to the village as the choir uses it regularly. The facilities are great for the local children and they are encouraged to use them for free.”

“Maintaining and redeveloping facilities for the community to meet together socially are important to me e.g. pub and village hall

4.3.2 Learning, Leisure, and Community Facilities

Respondents were next asked how satisfied they were with the facilities in and around the Parish. There was very little dissatisfaction expressed, and satisfaction was particularly high with the GP services and the village hall. On other aspects such as access to retail, cafe and Post Office facilities, indoor and outdoor facilities, however, the majority expressed themselves as ‘satisfied’ rather than ‘very satisfied’


Satisfaction with facilities/services in Terrington (1)



Q2. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the facilities/services in and around Terrington Parish?

Base: All respondents (109)


Satisfaction with facilities/services in Terrington (2)



Q2. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the facilities/services in and around Terrington Parish?

Base: All respondents (109)

Significant numbers of respondents felt unable to give a view on access to primary and secondary education and pre-school / childcare. However, the chart below shows that the small minority of respondents with children under 16 in the household were generally satisfied with these aspects, particularly the access to primary education.




Q2. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the facilities/services in and around Terrington Parish?

Base: Respondents with child under 16 in Household (17)


4.3.3 Recreational Activities

Almost all respondents (95%) walked, with or without a dog, in and around the Parish. Other recreational activities which around one third or more of respondents took part in were gardening / allotments, community involvement, music / performing arts and and cycling.



Q3. In and around Terrington Parish, what recreational activities do you take part in?


Base: All respondents (109)



Q3. In and around Terrington Parish, what recreational activities do you take part in?

Base: All respondents (109)

Running / jogging and cycling were much more likely to be undertaken by younger respondents, as shown by the chart below:




Q3. In and around Terrington Parish, what recreational activities do you take part in?

Base: 18-44 year olds (14)
45-64 year olds (39)
65+ year olds (53)

When asked for any suggestions as to how the recreational facilities and opportunities could be improved, 42% of respondents said nothing was needed. The remaining respondents put forward a wide range of ideas, but no one suggestion was made by more than 6% of the

total sample. The chart below sets out those suggestions made by at least five respondents (5%).



Q4. Please tell us how you think existing recreational facilities and opportunities could be improved?

Base: All respondents (109)

Verbatim comments expressing respondents' views on the need for better public footpaths and on the need for swimming facilities are shown below:

"I would like to see the footpaths maintained properly - they are very overgrown in the summer."


"Improvements to existing footpaths and roads would reduce the risk of injury to pedestrians attending activities or while walking/running."

"I wish the Hall School would make its Swimming Pool available to residents."

"I wish we could use the swimming pool at Hall School."

4.3.4 Crime and Safety

Three quarters of respondents felt there were no crime or community safety problems in the Parish; most of the remainder had no opinion one way or the other. 9% felt there were problems.



Q5. Do you think there are crime/community safety problems in the Parish?

Base: All respondents (109)


Male respondents were more likely than females to feel there were problems (15% compared with 4%). None of the respondents aged under 45 felt there were any problems.

4.3.5 Transport

Respondents were asked which method(s) of transport they used for a variety of day-to-day activities. It can be seen from the charts below that a private vehicle was much the most likely form of transport to be used for all activities undertaken with the exception of taking children to and from school (for which almost as many walked as used a private vehicle). Walking was also the method used by many respondents when taking part in leisure activities and making health visits, though even for these activities a private vehicle was more likely to be used.

Other methods of transport such as train or taxi were relatively little used for any of the activities.


Methods of Travel (1)



Q6. Which method of travel do you normally use when carrying out the day to day activities listed below? (Tick all means of transport that apply for each activity undertaken, disregarding "walk" if this is only to your car/bus, or from your car/bus, to your destination)


Base: All respondents (109)

Methods of Travel (2)



Q6. Which method of travel do you normally use when carrying out the day to day activities listed below? (Tick all means of transport that apply for each activity undertaken, disregarding "walk" if this is only to your car/bus, or from your car/bus, to your destination)

Base: All respondents (109)




Q6. Which method of travel do you normally use when carrying out the day to day activities listed below? (Tick all means of transport that apply for each activity undertaken, disregarding "walk" if this is only to your car/bus, or from your car/bus, to your destination)

Base: All respondents (109)

4.3.6 Road Traffic and Parking

Respondents were asked how concerned they were about a number of aspects of parking in the Parish. Concern was quite high in respect of cars blocking the road (53% were 'extremely' or 'quite' concerned), damage from passing vehicles (45%) and school parking (45%).




Q7. How concerned are you about the following in relation to parking (your own and others' parking) in Terrington Parish?

Base: All respondents (109)

Concern about cars blocking the road was greatest amongst residents of Main Street / North Carr / The Square (64% 'extremely' or 'quite' concerned) and South Back Lane (67%).

Opinion was quite evenly divided about the need for traffic calming within the Parish, with a small majority feeling there was no such need.




Q8. Do you think there is a need for traffic calming measures within the parish?

Base: All respondents (109)

Residents of Main Street / North Carr / The Square were most likely to believe there was a need for traffic calming (55% felt there was).


The great majority of respondents (75%) felt there are places in the parish where parking or traffic volumes cause inconvenience, danger, or environmental damage. When asked to identify any roads or junctions in the Parish that are danger spots and in need of traffic calming or better traffic management, Church Lane / the area around Terrington Hall School, North Back Lane and Main Street were most likely to be mentioned.



4.4 Attitude to Residential Development in the Parish

4.4.1 Size of potential Development

Respondents were informed that the potential for new residential development in Terrington Parish is constrained by being situated in an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and the presence of conservation areas within the Parish. Nevertheless, they were asked for their views on the suitability of different sizes of potential development. There was almost universal rejection of one large development of more than 20 houses and less strong, but still substantial, rejection of a medium sized development of 10-20 houses. By contrast, a strong majority felt a small development of fewer than 10 houses or, in particular, individual plots would be 'very' or 'quite' suitable for the Parish.




Q11. How suitable do you feel the following sizes of potential new developments would be for the parish of Terrington?

Base: All respondents (109)

4.4.2 Type of Home Appropriate for any Development in the Parish

The majority of respondents felt that 1–2-bedroom homes, 3 or more-bedroom homes, bungalows / single storey homes or affordable housing* would all be at least 'quite important' if any development were to take place. A slight majority felt that it would not be important to develop retirement / sheltered homes and flats were rejected as 'not important' to develop by almost 9 out of 10 respondents.

*Affordable housing was defined as: affordable housing for rent, starter homes, discounted housing, other affordable routes to housing, provided to eligible households whose needs are not met by the market.




Q12. How important do you feel the following types of property would be for any potential new developments in Terrington Parish?

Base: All respondents (109)

Those who felt that a large or medium sized development would be suitable for the Parish were more likely than other respondents to feel that it would be important to develop each type of property (with the exception of flats). For example, 42% of this group felt it would be 'very important' that 1-2 bedroom homes should be part of any new development and a further 52% felt it would be 'quite important'.

4.4.3 Type of Ownership Appropriate for any Development in the Parish

Privately owned was the favoured option when respondents were asked to consider different types of ownership / tenure for any new development. However, small majorities also felt that it would be at least 'quite important' that any new development should include privately rented or shared ownership homes. Opinion was evenly divided on the importance of public sector rented housing.




Q13. How important do you feel the following types of ownership/tenure would be for any potential new developments in Terrington Parish?

Base: All respondents (109)

4.4.4 Demolition or Conversion of an existing single Building to deliver two or more Dwellings

The majority of respondents agreed that demolition or conversion of an existing building should be allowed to deliver two or more dwellings.



Q14. Do you agree with allowing demolition or conversion of an existing single building to deliver two or more dwellings?


Base: All respondents (109)

All respondent types were broadly in favour of allowing this demolition or conversion, with the exception of those living on North Back Lane. Only 3 of the 13 respondents from this part of the Parish (23%) agreed that this should be allowed.

4.4.5 Importance of Ways of preserving Terrington Parish's rural and historic Character

When respondents were asked about the importance to them of preserving the Parish's rural and historic character, very strong feelings emerged. More than four fifths of respondents saw it as 'very important' to consider the location of the housing, its height in relation to neighbouring properties and to ensure that the materials were in keeping with the Parish. Almost all the remaining respondents saw these features as 'quite important.'

Feelings were slightly less strong that consistency of building styles should be ensured, but again over 90% felt this was at least 'quite important'.




Q15. Thinking about the best way(s) of preserving Terrington Parish's rural and historic character, how important are the following to you?

Base: All respondents (109)

4.4.6 Other Ways of preserving Terrington Parish's rural and historic Character

Respondents were next asked whether they had any other ideas for preserving the Parish's rural and historic character. 34% said they had.

Most of the comments made reflected the views they had expressed earlier about the size and type of development. The most frequently recurring suggestions are shown in the chart below.



Q15e. Do you have any other ideas of ways to preserve Terrington Parish's rural and historic character? What are these ideas?

Base: Those suggesting a way (37)

Typical comments made by respondents when answering this question are shown below.


"A small amount of development is important to maintain the vibrancy of the village and ensure there is enough support for local services. However, this must be in keeping with the rest of the village and not damage/ impinge on the natural environment."

"There must be strict criteria for new building/materials to be in keeping with character with the village."

4.4.7 Importance of Features for new Housing Developments in the Parish


The next question in the survey asked about the perceived importance of each of a prompted list of design and layout features for new housing developments in the Parish. The chart below shows the strength of feeling on this issue, with all the listed features (with the exception of 'modern innovative structures') being seen as at least 'quite important' by over 80% of respondents. A small majority felt 'modern innovative structures were 'not important.'

Particular importance was attached to ensuring that any development respected the rural character of the Parish and the scale of the existing village. Adequate parking on the premises and energy efficiency were also seen as 'very important' by three quarters or more of all respondents.



Q16. How important do you feel the following design and layout features would be for *new housing developments* in Terrington Parish?

Base: All respondents (109)



Q16. How important do you feel the following design and layout features would be for *new housing developments* in Terrington Parish?

Base: All respondents (109)


One quarter (26%) of all respondents accepted the invitation to add other thoughts regarding the design and layout features for any new housing developments in Terrington Parish. The most frequently recurring comments were that the character and history of housing should be preserved (this comment was made by four respondents, 4% of the total sample) and that

developments should be kept small (3% of all respondents made this comment). No other comment was made by more than two respondents.

4.4.8 Protection of Green Spaces, Views, and Vistas in the Parish

Respondents were informed that Terrington Parish is likely to have some development in the next 15 years and they were asked whether they felt there were any green spaces in the Parish that should be protected.

Almost three quarters of respondents (72%) felt there were some green spaces that should be protected. The village playing fields and The Plump were most likely to be mentioned by these respondents as needing protection.




Q19a. Please describe in the box below. If you are not sure of the name of the green space, give the nearest street or house/farm name

Base: Those who said there are green spaces in the Parish which should be protected (79)

Again, in the context of likely development in the Parish in the next 15 years, respondents were asked whether there were any views or vistas, including those into, within or out of Terrington Parish that they felt were important. As with the protection of green spaces, there was strong support that certain views and vistas were important and again almost three quarters (73%) named at least one such view or vista.

Views to Sheriff Hutton and the Vale of York and views from Terrington Hall School were most likely to be singled out, but several other views were mentioned by 10% or more of these respondents (7% of the total sample).

Importance of Views or Vistas (Leading Mentions Only)




Q20a. Please list the views or vistas:

Base: Those who said there are views or vistas in the Parish which should be protected (80)

4.5 Current Infrastructure

Respondents were asked to rate various aspects of the infrastructure where they live. They were informed that infrastructure meant the basic physical structures and communication services needed for society to operate effectively on a day-to-day basis, such as buildings, roads, power supplies and communications.

Although all aspects on which questions were asked produced at least an 'acceptable' rating by a majority of respondents, it was notable that none of them was rated as 'good' by more than 58%. In particular, only a small minority rated either the pavements or roads as 'good.'




Q17. Please tell us what you think about the infrastructure where you live. How do you rate the following?

Base: All respondents (109)

Roads were rated as 'good' by 21% of those aged under 45 but by only 2% of those aged 45 or over. There were relatively few other differences in the ratings given to the infrastructure by different respondent types.

Just under one third (31%) of respondents accepted the invitation to make a further comment about the infrastructure. Most of the comments reflected the concerns expressed earlier about the condition of the roads and pavements and the belief that there were sewage problems. Opposition to further telegraph poles and masts was also expressed.



Q17h / 17i. Do you have any other comments about the infrastructure where you live? What are those comments?

Base: Those made a further comment about the infrastructure (34)

Some verbatim comments reflecting the above points are shown below.

“The condition of the roads in the village in places is very poor with many potholes not repaired, some of which have been in place for years. In the past road surfacing has been carried out over potholes without the holes being filled first. There seems to be no liaison between the various authorities.”

“There are lots of potholes around the village, in particular on Mowthorpe Lane behind the shop.”


“No hideous new telegraph poles in the AONB.”

“The way that the poles and masts have been allowed to be put in the area without any consultation whatsoever to an AONB is absolutely dreadful. If no rules and regulations are put in place to stop this kind of thing happening again, then other people can come along and just build whatever they want whether it be houses, buildings, other kinds of network - anything at all if it suits them. I cannot say strongly enough how dreadful it is to have these poles and masts put in this area.”

4.6 Other Points to include in Neighbourhood Plan

Respondents were prompted with several points which could be included in the Neighbourhood Plan and asked how important it was felt to include each. There was very strong support that protection of the landscape, of local wildlife / habitats and of historic / natural features and the maintenance and improvement of the present green space and recreational areas should be included. Slightly less strong majorities also favoured the maintenance and improvement of public rights of way and the inclusion of pedestrian and cycle access. Opinion was more evenly divided on whether tourism should be promoted, with 47% believing it was ‘very’ or ‘quite’ important to do so and 53% believing it was ‘not very’ or ‘not at all’ important.


Importance of Elements of the Neighbourhood Plan (1)



Q18. How important is it that the Neighbourhood Plan includes the following?

Base: All respondents (109)

Importance of Elements of the Neighbourhood Plan (2)



Q18. How important is it that the Neighbourhood Plan includes the following?

Base: All respondents (109)


Those aged 45-64 were most likely to feel that it was important to include the promotion of tourism in the Neighbourhood Plan (62% of this group felt it was 'very' or 'quite' important to do so).

4.7 Employment and Business

4.7.1 Need for Small Scale Business Development


Opinion was evenly divided about the need for small scale business development in the Parish. When presented with a list of four types of development, just over half the respondents (51%) felt none of them were needed.

The development attracting most support was for creative workshop spaces. This was felt to be needed by 36% of the total sample.



4.7.2 Business Ownership and Self Employment in Parish

Just under one fifth of respondents (19%) owned or managed a business located in the Parish or were self-employed and based in the Parish. Most of these respondents worked from home.



Q21a. Do you own or manage a business located in the Parish OR are you self-employed and based in the Parish?


Q22 Do you run a business from home in the Parish or from premises in the Parish?

Base: All respondents (109)


Almost one third (31%) of those in the 45-64 age group were running a business in the Parish or were self-employed and based in the village.

The minority who managed a business or were self-employed and based in the Parish were asked how many people, including themselves, were employed in the business. Most were either sole traders (38%) or employed just one person (48%), but 14% employed three or more staff.

The business was most likely to be farming or horticulture, but four respondents (19% of those managing a business or self-employed and based in the Parish) described their business as consultancy.




The great majority of those managing a business or self-employed in the Parish felt their business premises were 'very suitable'. Most of the remainder felt their premises were 'acceptable.'



4.8 Communication

Email was the preferred method of communication for the receipt of updates and information about the Neighbourhood Plan and its development. Almost all respondents wanted some form of update and information and The Howardian, the Parish Council website, noticeboards, flyers or posters and the village Facebook site were all favoured by many respondents.



4.9 Final Comments

Respondents were given a final opportunity to mention anything not covered by the questionnaire and which it was felt should be included in the Neighbourhood Plan. 31 respondents (28% of the total sample) accepted this invitation. Comments made mostly reinforced the points made elsewhere in their responses.

A full list of all verbatim comments made when responding to this question is attached as Appendix Three. The main themes raised by those commenting were the need for better public transport (mentioned by 6 respondents), a belief that the current infrastructure does not support additional housing (5 mentions), a desire for the pub to re-open (5 mentions) and concerns regarding local wildlife or biodiversity. Some examples of how these issues were raised follow.

“Better public transport please - a daily bus link to the new Haxby station (via Strensall and other bus links) would better connect Terrington to York, London, Edinburgh, Leeds, Scarborough and Manchester.”

“We need to boost public transport facilities - currently it is impossible to use anything other than car to get to work.”

“Current infrastructure is not appropriate for additional houses, there are already too many cars on narrow small roads. It’s not just home owners’ vehicles, more houses = more Amazon/DPD deliveries etc.”

“How will any proposed development impact on the services e.g. doctors, school etc?”

“The Public House continues to remain dormant. This should be addressed with the owner who I feel is holding out to convert this to a residential opportunity. The village of Terrington would benefit

“The pub. What are those clowns doing with it, and how much longer until it falls down?”

“I would like to know how we plan to support the local wildlife, development of wildflowers along roadsides, banning of weedkillers and other poisons on parish public land. When I moved to this village the skies were full of swallows, swifts, martins. Every year their numbers have dwindled as more and more of their breeding sights are destroyed by re-development. What can we do to help them, encourage them? We have bats in the village, how will we protect them, what can we do for the owls, butterflies, bees etc? Designated area in the village to celebrate events like Remembrance, Christmas etc (all rather lacking in the village).”

“We know that nature is in crisis everywhere and that biodiversity is declining in part due to the pressure of agriculture and human activity including housing and roads. I would like to see this properly reflected in the village plan. Any new development must include space for wildlife, e.g. an orchard, or a pond. New paths and roads need to be thought about very carefully. We could and should immediately improve the ecology of the village through wildflower verges on the Plump, perhaps considering digging a village pond etc.”

Appendix One – Respondent Questionnaire

Terrington Parish Neighbourhood Plan Survey 2023

We want to gather information about your opinions to help us ensure that our residents have a voice. Your views will help us to make sure that the Terrington Parish Neighbourhood Plan is relevant and based on the needs and desires of our community.

The following questions refer to Terrington Parish which covers the settlements of Terrington, Little Terrington, Ganthorpe, Mowthorpe and Wiganthorpe.

We are seeking the views of all adult members (16+) of each household.

All information you provide will be treated in strict confidence and no comments will be attributed to anyone by name when we report the results. PCP Market Research is acting as the data controller for this research. We are a Company Partner of the Market Research Society (MRS) and adhere strictly to their guidelines in relation to GDPR.

The deadline for the completion of the questionnaire is Friday 8th December.

For further information about Market Research and to confirm we are a bona fide market research company you can contact the Market Research Society on their Freephone number: 0500 39 69 99. You can also view our listing on the MRS website: <https://www.mrs.org.uk/researchbuyersguide-results/q/pickersgill>.

You can view PCP's privacy policy at www.pcpmarketresearch.com/privacy-policy

Please tick the appropriate box or answer in the space provided.

Please enter the questionnaire number shown on the letter from the Parish Council so you can access the questionnaire. If you have mislaid this number, please ring PCP on FREEPHONE 0800 6523740

Living in Terrington Parish

Q1 How do you rate these aspects of living in Terrington Parish? (Tick one box per row)

	Very important (4)	Quite Important (3)	Not very important (2)	Not at all important (1)
Environment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Facilities (shop/doctors etc)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Quiet/peaceful	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Public transport connections	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Types of housing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Wildlife/natural features/landscape	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Access to other places	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Schools	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Public Rights of Way	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sense of community	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q1k Are there any other aspects of living in Terrington Parish that are important to you?

☐ Yes

☐ No

(If yes) Please write them here:

Learning, Leisure, and Community Facilities

We need to discover what you think of the facilities in Terrington Parish and how they might be improved.

Q2 How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the facilities/services in and around Terrington Parish? (Tick one box per row)

	Very satisfied (4)	Satisfied (3)	Dissatisfied (2)	Very dissatisfied (1)	No opinion / don't know
Provision of GP services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Access to primary education	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Access to secondary education	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Village Hall	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Preschool & childcare	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Indoor facilities for sport, leisure, exercise & recreation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Outdoor facilities for sport, leisure, exercise & recreation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Access to retail and café facilities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Access to Post Office facilities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q2j Are there any other facilities/services you would like to see in the Parish?

☐ Yes

☐ No

(If yes) Please list them here:

Q3 In and around Terrington Parish, what recreational activities do you take part in?
(Tick all that apply)

☐ Walking, including dog walking

☐ Running or jogging

☐ Cycling

☐ Horse riding

☐ Visual arts, crafts

☐ Music and performing arts

☐ Outdoor team or individual sport or activity

☐ Indoor team or individual sport activity

☐ Children's play equipment

☐ Gardening/allotment

☐ Community involvement (clubs, etc.)

☐ Other

(If others) Please list others here:

Q4 Please tell us how you think existing recreational facilities and opportunities could be improved?

Crime and Safety

Q5 Do you think there are crime/community safety problems in the Parish? (Tick one only)

☐ Yes

☐ No

☐ Don't know

(If yes) What are they?

Transport

Q6 Which method of travel do you normally use when carrying out the day-to-day activities listed below? (Tick all means of transport that apply for each activity undertaken, disregarding "walk" if this is only to your car/bus, or from your car/bus, your destination)

	Private vehicle	Bus	Park & Ride	Train	Bicycle	Walk	Taxi	Mobility scooter / Wheel chair	Other	Not applicable
Commute to/from work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Commute to/from bus/rail station	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Travel on business	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Take children to/from school	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Do grocery shopping	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Do other shopping	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Visit family/friends	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Take part in leisure activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Make health visits (e.g. doctor, dentist)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

(If commute) Where is your commute to?

Road Traffic and Parking

With the facilities in Terrington Parish there is increased traffic during certain times. Also, parking can be a problem in the parish.

Q7 How concerned are you about the following in relation to parking (your own and others' parking) in Terrington Parish? (Tick one box per row)

	Extremely concerned (4)	Quite concerned (3)	Not very concerned (2)	Not at all concerned (1)
Nowhere to park	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Damage from passing vehicles	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cars blocking your entrance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cars blocking the road	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
School parking	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Availability of parking	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- Q8 Do you think there is a need for traffic calming measures within the parish? (Tick one box only)
- ☐ Yes
☐ No
- Q9 Are there places in the parish where you think parking or traffic volumes cause inconvenience, danger, or environmental damage? (Tick one box only)
- ☐ Yes
☐ No
- Q10 Please write in here any roads or junctions in the Parish that in your view are danger spots and in need of traffic calming or better traffic management.
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

Housing

The potential for new residential development in Terrington Parish is constrained by being situated in an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and the presence of conservation areas within the Parish. Development may happen within the context of the existing Ryedale DC Local Plan (as adopted by North Yorkshire Council). Two sites have been submitted for possible future development in Terrington village and Castle Howard have also submitted plans for possible future development of a split site in Ganthorpe.

- Q11 How suitable do you feel the following sizes of potential new developments would be for the parish of Terrington? (Tick one box per row)
- | | Very Suitable (3) | Quite Suitable (2) | Not Suitable (1) |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| One large development (more than 20 houses) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Medium sized developments (10-20 houses) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Small developments (fewer than 10 houses) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Individual plots | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- Q12 How important do you feel the following types of property would be for any potential new developments in Terrington Parish? (Tick one box per row)
- | | Very Important (3) | Quite Important (2) | Not Important (1) |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|--|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|

1-2 bedroom homes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3 or more bedroom homes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Bungalows/ Single Storey homes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Retirement/Sheltered homes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Flats	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Affordable housing*	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

*Affordable housing is defined as: affordable housing for rent, starter homes, discounted housing, other affordable routes to housing. provided to eligible households whose needs are not met by the market

Q13 How important do you feel the following types of ownership/tenure would be for any potential new developments in Terrington Parish? (Tick one box per row)

	Very Important (3)	Quite Important (2)	Not Important (1)
Privately owned (including shared equity)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Privately rented	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Public sector rented	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Shared ownership*	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

*Shared ownership is usually offered by Housing Associations; you buy a share of your home (between 25% and 75%) and pay rent on the rest. The home may also be 'Affordable'.

Q14 Do you agree with allowing demolition or conversion of an existing single building to deliver two or more dwellings?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No

Q15 Thinking about the best way(s) of preserving Terrington Parish's rural and historic character, how important are the following to you? (Tick one box per row)

	Very Important (3)	Quite Important (2)	Not Important (1)
Location of housing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Height of housing in relation to neighbouring property	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Materials in keeping with the parish	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Consistency of building styles	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q15e Do you have any other ideas of ways to preserve Terrington Parish's rural and historic character?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No

(If yes) Please write your ideas here:

Q16 How important do you feel the following design and layout features would be for *new housing developments* in Terrington Parish? (Tick one box per row)

	Very Important (3)	Quite Important (2)	Not Important (1)	Don't know/ No answer
Reflect current housing density	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Design that respects the scale of the existing village	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Modern innovative structures	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Adequate storage areas (for waste bins, cycles, mobility scooters etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Energy efficiency	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Renewable energy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Adequate parking on premises	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Adequate garden size	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Provision for the charging of electric cars	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Defining and preserving the boundaries of our parish settlements	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Housing design and layout meets 'Secured by Design'* security code	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Development fits with the rural character of the parish and sits well in the landscape	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

* Secured by Design is a police initiative to encourage the building industry to adopt crime prevention measures in the design of developments to assist in reducing the opportunity for crime and the fear of crime, creating a safer and more secure environment.

Q16m Do you have any other thoughts regarding the design and layout features for any new housing developments in Terrington Parish?

☐ Yes

☐ No

(If yes) Please write them here:

Infrastructure

By infrastructure we mean the basic physical structures and communication services needed for society to operate effectively on a day-to-day basis e.g. buildings, roads, power supplies, communications etc.

Q17 Please tell us what you think about the infrastructure where you live. How do you rate the following? (Tick one box per row)

	Good (3)	Acceptable (2)	Poor (1)	Don't know
Sewerage/drainage	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Water supply	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Electricity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mobile phone coverage	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Broadband	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Pavements	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Roads	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q17h Do you have any other comments about the infrastructure where you live?

☐ Yes

☐ No

(If yes) Please add your comments here:

The Local Environment

Q18 How important is it that the Neighbourhood Plan includes the following?

	Very important (4)	Quite important (3)	Not very important (2)	Not at all important (1)
Maintain and improve Public Rights of Way	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Protecting historic and natural features	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Protection of the landscape	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Pedestrian and cycle access	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Protecting local wildlife and habitats	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Promoting Tourism	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Maintaining and improving present green space* and recreational areas	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

*Green spaces are any open spaces of land that are accessible to the public. This can include: playing fields and sports pitches, wildlife areas, greens, playgrounds, and cemeteries.

Q19 Given that Terrington Parish is likely to have some development in the next 15 years, are there any green spaces in the Parish that should be protected?

☐ Yes

☐ No

(If yes) Please describe in the box below. If you are not sure of the name of the green space, give the nearest street or house/farm name.

Q20 Given that Terrington Parish is likely to have some development in the next 15 years, are there any views or vistas, including those into, within or out of Terrington Parish that you think are important?

☐ Yes

☐ No

(If yes) Please list them here and why they are important. If you are not sure how to identify the view, please give the nearest street or house/farm name and village/hamlet as a position and describe the direction you are looking at (north, south, east, or west).

Employment & Business

Q21 Do you think there is a need for the following types of small-scale business development in Terrington Parish? (Tick all that apply)

☐ Shops

- ☐ Small starter office units
- ☐ Small commercial units
- ☐ Creative work-shop spaces
- ☐ None of the above
- ☐ No answer

Q21a Do you own or manage a business located in the Parish OR are you self-employed and based in the Parish?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

This section tells us about businesses located in the Parish. It will help us to understand whether the Neighbourhood Plan can potentially help local business.

Q22 (If run a business in or from the Parish) Do you run a business from...? (Please tick one)

- ☐ Home in the Parish
- ☐ Premises in the Parish

Q23 (If run a business in or from the Parish) How many people are employed in the business, including yourself?

Q24 (If run a business in or from the Parish) Which sector best describes your business activity? (Please tick one)

- ☐ Farming / Horticulture
- ☐ Building Trade
- ☐ Arts & Crafts
- ☐ Retail
- ☐ Tourism / Leisure
- ☐ Manufacturing
- ☐ IT / Business Services
- ☐ Food / Catering
- ☐ Consultancy
- ☐ Other

Q25 (If run a business in or from the Parish) Are your present business premises...? (Please tick one)

- ☐ Very suitable
- ☐ Acceptable
- ☐ Unsuitable

(If unsuitable) Please explain why it is unsuitable below:

☐ Prefer not to say

Q28 Which age group do you belong to?

- ☐ 16 to 24 years old
- ☐ 25-34 years old
- ☐ 35-44 years old
- ☐ 45-54 years old
- ☐ 55-64 years old
- ☐ 65-74 years old
- ☐ 75 plus years old
- ☐ Prefer not to say

Q29 How many years have you lived in the Parish?

- ☐ Less than 1 year
- ☐ 1 – 5 years
- ☐ 6 – 10 years
- ☐ 11 – 20 years
- ☐ 21 – 40 years
- ☐ 41+ years

Q30 Which area of the parish do you live in? Knowing this will help us to identify parts of the parish with particular views and needs.

- ☐ Main Street, North Carr & The Square
- ☐ The Plump, Cliffe Lane, Cliffe Mews & Holebeck Close
- ☐ North Back Lane, Church Lane & New Road
- ☐ South Back Lane (East & West)
- ☐ Terrington Bank, incl. Little Terrington, Flatt Top & Terrington South Bank
- ☐ Mowthorpe & Mowthorpe Lane
- ☐ Wiganthorpe
- ☐ Ganthorpe
- ☐ Prefer not to say

Q31a Please write here the number of adults (16 or over) in your household (including yourself):

Q31b Please write here the number of children under 16 in your household:

Q32 What is your housing situation? Are you.....?

- ☐ Private owner
- ☐ Renting
- ☐ Living with family
- ☐ Other
- ☐ Prefer not to say

(If other) Please explain your housing situation here:

Q33 What is your employment status? Are you...?

- ☐ Working full time
- ☐ Working part time
- ☐ Not in work
- ☐ In education
- ☐ Retired

Q34 On average, how many hours per month do you do unpaid or voluntary work?

- ☐ 0-5 hours
- ☐ 6-10 hours
- ☐ 11 or more hours
- ☐ Do not do any unpaid or voluntary work

Thank you for your replies to this questionnaire. Your views are most important and will contribute to the development of the Neighbourhood Plan for Terrington Parish. The deadline for the questionnaire is Friday 8th December.

If you have requested a paper survey, please use the Reply Paid envelope enclosed (no stamp required). The return address is:

PCP Market Research Ltd
Woodlands
Church Lane
Welburn
York
YO60 7EG.

*Regular updates on the progress of the Terrington Neighbourhood Plan are posted on the Terrington Parish Council website
<https://terringtonpc.co.uk/nplan.php>*

Appendix Two – Invitation

Terrington Parish Neighbourhood Plan Group
on behalf of Terrington Parish Council



November 2023



Your chance to influence the future of our area *[PP to add Unique Number]*

Dear Resident,

As you may be aware, we are creating a Neighbourhood Plan. This is a document produced by a Parish Council to set out the development of a community over 10-15 years. It will have legal weight in future planning decisions made by North Yorkshire Council and must be supported by the community.

Our Neighbourhood plan is being written by volunteers for the benefit of the whole Parish and is a framework for the future enhancement and development of our area. Our Neighbourhood Plan will reflect the opinions of people in the Parish.

This letter is being shared with all households in Terrington Parish because we want you to have a say in our Neighbourhood Plan. As one of the first steps in developing the Neighbourhood Plan, we would like to invite all Parish residents of 16 years and older, including business owners, to share your views in a survey. The results from everyone will be pulled together and we will then consult with you further on the findings.

This letter has a unique number which can be used by the occupants in your household to access the survey. We would ask you to complete this online if possible to help us minimise costs *[PP to insert link]* If you do not have access to the internet, the survey can be completed via the freephone number below, or on paper.

The Parish Council has commissioned PCP Market Research, an independent market research agency, to help develop the survey, which will be confidential and anonymous.

If you do not have internet access, please contact PCP Market Research on Freephone *[PP to insert phone No.]*. They will arrange for someone to record your views over the telephone or post a paper survey to you with a pre-paid envelope in which it can be returned. If you need help to complete the survey online, this will be available *[PP to insert details]*.

Please keep this letter as it has **your unique number** to ensure your survey participation is valid and to maintain anonymity.

Whilst the Neighbourhood Plan Group, with the support of PCP, have produced the survey, the cost of running the survey is being funded by a government grant. We hope you will take part because we need your participation and support. Please submit your responses to the survey by the deadline of December 8th to ensure your views are heard.

Councillor Jane Kendrick, Terrington Parish Council

Appendix Three – Raw Data for Q26a

Although I accept this point has been covered by various of the questions, I think it needs more emphasis. I feel that it should be the aim to relieve the flow of private cars though the area as much as possible. The roads are just not capable of sustaining a big increase in traffic and is this not meant to be part of the environmental considerations of the world? Any large development in and around Terrington, and even more so Ganthorpe, should not even be considered unless the roads through the villages were widened and generally improved. And that would imply that people think it's OK to have an ever-increasing amount of traffic. The only way that way I can see that private car use can be constrained is to have a regular (twice hourly) bus service that serves a transport hub (Malton maybe, or York). If that isn't going to happen, then don't have any large or medium sized housing developments, without the infrastructure to support them. That infrastructure needs to include easy access to shops, schools, doctors/hospitals amongst other things.

As previously mentioned, the Local Occupancy Clause on housing has stifled growth and should be discontinued.

Better public transport please - a daily bus link to the new Haxby station (via Strensall and other bus links) would better connect Terrington to York, London, Edinburgh, Leeds, Scarborough and Manchester.

Bus Route to Malton or York City Centre.

Could do with the village pub back Just reiterate about the need for transport. RYCAT are vital for me living in the village and it's essential that it's kept.

Current infrastructure is not appropriate for additional houses, there are already too many cars on narrow small roads. It's not just home owners' vehicles, more houses = more Amazon/DPD deliveries etc. Need to boost public transport facilities - currently impossible to use anything other than car to get to work. How to deal with increasing litter problem. What's the environmental considerations RE climate change - i.e. more wind / rain / flood - removal of trees/hedges/ditches compounds issue.

Frustrating that some of the questions were a yes or no answer, rarely that simple, need to allow greater input on some questions, i.e. whether you agree that a single building could be demolished to be replaced with one or two dwellings, ok in some locations where it would only be one or two new builds, not ok for a very large farm shed to be replaced with 25-50 houses.

How any proposed development will impact on the services e.g. doctors, school etc. Additional housing must have parking provided as parking along Main Street is a nightmare and makes it difficult to both cross the road safely and also drive through the village.

How will local services cope with any additional demand e.g. Doctors.

I would like to know how we plan to support the local wildlife, development of wildflowers along roadsides, banning of weedkillers and other poisons on parish public land. When I moved to this village the skies were full of swallows, swifts, martins every year their numbers have dwindled as more and more of their breeding sites are destroyed by re-development, what can we do to help them, encourage them. We have bats in the village, how will we protect them, what can we do for the owls, butterflies, bees etc. Designated area in the village to celebrate events like remembrance, Christmas etc. (all rather lacking in the village).

If land is to be developed within Terrington, consideration should be given to allocating part of that land as an area for off-street parking to be used by those properties that currently do not have that facility.

Lighting - it is vital that we preserve and enhance the view of the night sky. The quality of the green space in the village e.g. preserving trees and encouraging the planting of more trees.

More houses are badly needed. Nobody born in the area can afford to stay here.

My perception is that this survey has done a very good job about asking people's opinions of the existing functions, facilities, issues, and challenges of the existing parish. It has asked questions about what development we would like, what infrastructure could do with improvement, what opportunities for increased commercial activity people feel there is However, it has not asked, the bigger, broader question these raise. What kind of parish do residents want the parish to be in future? * Do they believe it should remain rural? An AONB? And what does that 'mean' to them? * Do they believe it should remain, largely dormitory in nature? * Do they want it to feel more like Malton, and less like Terrington? * Do they agree with the principle of growth? * Do they want more commercial opportunity? * Do they want the village to actively appeal to the tourist market?

Protection of trees and grass verges.

Regular reliable public transport Expand doctors' surgery Pub/restaurant.

The costs of the houses that will be built. Who will build them and when it will happen?

The pub. What are those clowns doing with it, and how much longer until it falls down?

The Public House continues to remain dormant. This should be addressed with the owner who I feel is holding out to convert this to a residential opportunity. The village of Terrington would benefit greatly from a Pub of managed correctly.

The village probably needs some organic growth to maintain the good facilities it already has e.g. shop, school, surgery. Some well-designed new housing especially suited to young families and people wanting to downsize should be welcomed.

What is going to happen to the pub?

It's important that anyone intending to move into a village should be aware of, and should value, the community feeling of a place such as this. They should value the rural nature of the area they would be moving into.

Our property, like many others, does not have off street parking. As the country moves towards electric vehicles, charging cars will become an issue. We are also experiencing an increase of cars into the village for walks and visiting the shop etc. Some days we cannot park outside our property such is the volume. On a few occasions we have been blocked in when parked. I have also had a delivery vehicle reverse into my parked vehicle causing significant damage.

Possibility for more mobile services where possible if public transport is not improved.

Rights of way styles and gates maintained by Public Rights of Way, as the some of the public don't appear to realise that stock can cause damage if not contained.

Terrington is a small pretty village in an area of natural beauty any building works would irreversibly destroy this pretty village.

The position of All Saints Church as a continuing place of worship, central to the life of the village throughout the year. The use of the building would be greatly enhanced by the provision of a toilet. This is probably the oldest building in the village and should be central to the life here.

We are very lucky in the village to have such a good parish council, who deserve more recognition and other members of the village who take an active role in supporting village life. I do not think the Terrington Village Life Facebook page should be used for communication as there is one person in charge of its content and there is an increasing number of residents who have been banned or have chosen not to join or have left the page. Thank you to those who have taken an active role in starting this process.

We know that nature is in crisis everywhere and that biodiversity is declining in part due to the pressure of agriculture and human activity including housing and roads. I would like to see this properly reflected in the village plan. Any new development must include space for wildlife, e.g. an orchard, or a pond. New paths and roads need to be thought about very carefully. We could and should immediately improve the ecology of the village through wildflower verges on the Plump, perhaps considering digging a village pond etc.

We think great care should be taken when looking at any future expansion. As we have said the village and local businesses benefit from incomers who want to experience the locality and its rural and attractive environment. Unfettered expansion and development can severely impact this amenity which currently is available to all who want peace, fresh air and perhaps a bit of food, a drink and local produce. Damaging that unique character is the destruction of another park, another playground, another haven for those who need it.

While admitting I don't have enough information about the purpose and scope of a Neighbourhood Plan, I find this questionnaire focuses on the built environment of Terrington Parish when 90% or more of the Parish is arable, pasture or ancient deciduous woodland. Would we welcome rewilding were landowners to suggest bringing it to the Parish? Would we welcome conifer plantations? I think we should also be considering where it would be acceptable to place solar panel farms, wind farms, and more electricity pylons bringing in power from offshore windfarms, for example. Q12 Comments – We do not need any more 3- or more-bedroom dwellings, or ones which are subsequently extended to become larger and larger houses. One thing Terrington Parish sorely lacks is proper provision for people of the fourth age and those with physical disabilities requiring support. Most other villages have some provision of this sort – we don't here, meaning that when people reach this stage (some having lived here all their lives) they have to move out and relocate away from their community. A small development of retirement/sheltered homes is needed and might also be associated with affordable housing consisting of one- or two-bedroom starter homes. All with minimal or communal garden, one waste bin point, and communal space for mobility scooters and cycles. The ideal location for such a development would be Robert Goodwill's site for proposed development on South Back Lane East.